

United States Patent and Trademark Office

			•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/838,764	04/19/2001	Frankie F. Roohparvar	400.081US01	1344	
27073 75	90 06/01/2006		EXAMINER		
LEFFERT JAY & POLGLAZE, P.A.			CHAUDRY, MUJTABA M		
P.O. BOX 581009 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55458-1009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2133		
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/838,764	ROOHPARVAR, FRANKIE F.
Examiner	Art Unit
Mujtaba K. Chaudry	2133

	Mujtaba K. Chaudry	2133					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second content of the second con	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig	g date of the final rejecting FIRST REPLY WAS FIRST REPLY WAS FIRST REPROPERTIES AND THE REPORT AND THE R	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL		te of the final rejection,	even if timely filed,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co			Coddoc				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying.	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	r				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
ADD 5/24/06	Ś	ALBERT DEC SPERVISORY PATEN TECHNOLOGY CEN	1				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/838,764

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has proposed amendments to the claims after a final rejection which require further consideration and/or search. For example, claims recite, "...at least one redundant fuse circuitry to store the address of a defective element in a primary memory array...".